

Findlay Park Partners LLP (the “Firm”)**RTS 28: Annual Quality of Execution Report****1st January 2018 -31st December 2018****Summary of Regulation**

Investment firms are required to publish on an annual basis, information on the identity of execution venues and the quality of execution obtained under MiFID II (Markets in Financial Instruments Directive).

The requirements are designed to increase transparency related to executing client orders on trading venues including systematic internalisers, market makers or other liquidity providers, which aims to improve investor protection.

Where a firm’s orders are executed directly on an execution venue a firm will need to disclose the top five execution venues in accordance with MiFID II Art 27 (6) & RTS 28. Where orders are transmitted/placed with a broker to execute, a firm will then need to disclose the top five entities where orders are routed in accordance with MiFID II Org Regulation Article 65(6). As the Firm does not execute orders directly on execution venues, the Firm summarises and publishes its top five entities where orders are routed in terms of trading volumes in the preceding year, as well as information on the quality of execution obtained.

Summary of Execution Analysis

This information is based on the quality of execution obtained where the Firm has executed all client orders in the previous year.

An explanation of the relative importance the Firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution

Subject to any specific instructions given by its Client(s), when placing orders on their behalf, the Firm will take all sufficient steps to obtain the best possible result for them by taking into account the following execution factors:

- Price;
- Costs;
- Speed;
- Likelihood of execution;
- Settlement;
- Order size;
- Nature;
- Venue; and
- Any other relevant consideration(s).

Best execution applies to all financial instrument types, although execution factors should be considered and applied as appropriate to different instruments depending on their relative importance. In the majority of cases, the Firm would typically expect that the most significant issue to be taken into account will be the total consideration to be paid or received. However, there will be occasions when other factors may be more important or relevant and, as discretionary managers, the Firm may use its judgement and experience to give greater prominence to other execution factors other than price based on the following types of criteria:

Execution factors other than price	When this execution factor will take precedence over price?
Costs	In certain situations, the size of the order will mean that the overall costs of the trade should take precedence over price.
Speed	In certain situations, the urgency or speed of execution will take precedence over price.
Likelihood of execution	In certain market conditions such as restricted liquidity the likelihood of execution or the certainty of an execution outcome will take precedence over price.
Settlement	There may be instances where the certainty of settlement may outweigh price as the primary execution factor.
Order size	There may be instances where the ability to execute a large order may outweigh price as the primary execution factor.
Nature	The nature of the order such as the direction of the order or the financial markets characteristics may influence the decision rather than the price.
Venue	There may be instances where the choice of venue may take precedence over price. For example, we prefer to execute listed instruments which may sometimes trade at an inferior price when compared to trading OTC.
Counterparty	In certain situations, the selection of a counterparty may outweigh price as the primary execution factor. For example, a counterparty may offer a superior price but might not be included on the approved counterparty list.

<p>A description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders</p>	<p>The Firm does not have any close links, conflicts of interests or common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on behalf of its clients.</p>
<p>A description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received</p>	<p>The Firm does not have any arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>An explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred;</p>	<p>The Firm lists possible execution venues in the Firm's Best Execution policy, the RTS 28 report published by the Firm on the 30th April 2019.</p> <p>Counterparties on the approved brokers list are subject to an authorisation and ongoing monitoring process, which includes, but is not limited to, a review of the performance of execution services provided by the broker, and the broker's ability to trade effectively on our clients' behalf.</p> <p>There have been no changes regarding the listed execution venues during this period.</p>
<p>An explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements</p>	<p>The Firm has a Best Execution policy and Aggregation and Allocation of Orders policy which sets out how the Firm executes trades in a manner which is fair and equitable to its client.</p> <p>The Firm only executes orders on behalf of one professional client.</p>
<p>An explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client</p>	<p>This is not applicable, as the Firm does not execute orders on behalf of retail clients.</p>
<p>An explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575) to be inserted before publication [RTS 27]</p>	<p>The Firm utilises the services of two Transaction Cost Analysis ("TCA") vendors in order to provide analytical data regarding the quality of the Firm's execution data and incorporates peer group analysis where applicable.</p> <p>Trades that do not meet our expectations are investigated by both the Dealing Team and as a second line of defence, the Compliance Team.</p> <p>Using the Firm's internal monitoring processes the data is presented and discussed at the Dealing and Oversight Committee to ensure the Firm is meeting its Best Execution requirements and that we have obtained the best possible results for our Clients.</p> <p>The approved broker list is kept under constant review as part of this process.</p> <p>The Firm has made efforts to analyse RTS 27 data published by its brokers however analytical data produced by the Firm's TCA systems continues to provide more meaningful analysis relating to the quality of execution.</p>

Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU.

Not applicable, as currently there are no consolidated tape providers in Europe.

Data by Class of Instrument

Class of instrument		Equities - Shares and Depository Receipts: Tick Size Liquidity Bands 1 and 2				
Notification if < 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		NO				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)		Volume traded as a % of total in that class	Orders executed as % of total in that class	% of passive orders	% of aggressive orders	% of directed orders
1	UBS Limited LEI REYPIEJN7XZHSUI0N355	37.37	34.40	0	0	0
2	J.P. Morgan Securities PLC LEI ZBUT11V806EZRVWT807	22.08	21.24	0	0	0
3	Citigroup Global Markets Limited LEI XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	7.68	8.29	0	0	0
4	Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated LEI 8NAV47T0Y26Q87Y0QP81	6.37	5.01	0	0	0
5	Liquidnet Europe Limited LEI 213800ZIRB79BE5XQM68	5.38	12.53	0	0	0

Class of instrument		Equities - Shares and Depository Receipts: Tick Size Liquidity Bands 3 and 4				
Notification if < 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		NO				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)		Volume traded as a % of total in that class	Orders executed as % of total in that class	% of passive orders	% of aggressive orders	% of directed orders
1	Instinet Europe Limited LEI 213800MXAKR2LA1VBM44	30.12	19.57	0	0	0
2	Citigroup Global Markets Limited LEI XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	18.07	13.04	0	0	0
3	J.P. Morgan Securities PLC LEI ZBUT11V806EZRVWT807	17.44	26.09	0	0	0
4	UBS Limited LEI REYPIEJN7XZHSUI0N355	7.54	8.70	0	0	0
5	Credit Suisse Securities (Europe) Limited LEI DL6FFRRLF74S01HE2M14	6.70	8.70	0	0	0

Class of instrument		Equities - Shares and Depository Receipts: Tick Size Liquidity Bands 5 and 6				
Notification if < 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		NO				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)		Volume traded as a % of total in that class	Orders executed as % of total in that class	% of passive orders	% of aggressive orders	% of directed orders
1	Morgan Stanley & Co. LEI 9R7GPTSO7KV3UQJZQ078	39.45	22.73	0	0	0
2	Citigroup Global Markets Limited LEI XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	38.66	31.82	0	0	0
3	UBS Limited LEI REYPIEJN7XZHSUI0N355	8.32	22.73	0	0	0
4	Barclays Capital Securities Limited LEI K9WDOH4D2PYBSLSOB484	7.68	9.09	0	0	0
5	J.P. Morgan Securities PLC LEI ZBUT11V806EZRVWT807	3.41	4.55	0	0	0

Class of instrument		Currencies				
Notification if < 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		YES				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)		Volume traded as a % of total in that class	Orders executed as % of total in that class	% of passive orders	% of aggressive orders	% of directed orders
1	Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. LEI 5493006KMX1VFTYPWP14	100	100	0	0	0

Class of instrument		Government Bonds				
Notification if < 1 average trade per business day in the previous year		YES				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)		Volume traded as a % of total in that class	Orders executed as % of total in that class	% of passive orders	% of aggressive orders	% of directed orders
1	Citigroup Global Markets Limited LEI XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	92.74	92	0	0	0
2	J.P. Morgan Securities PLC LEI ZBUT11V806EZRVWT807	7.26	8	0	0	0

Definitions:

"Passive Order"	Means an order entered into the order book that provided liquidity
"Aggressive Order"	An order entered into the order book that took liquidity
"Directed Order"	An order where a specific execution venue was specified by the client prior to the execution of the order
"Volume Traded"	Investment Association: "By volume we understand the regulation to mean market value."
"Orders Executed"	A deal in a specific stock with a specific broker; number of orders

Important Information

This document has been prepared by Findlay Park Partners LLP (“**FPP**”) and relates to the Findlay Park American Fund, a sub-fund of Findlay Park Funds Plc (“**Fund**”) which is an open-ended investment company authorized by the Central Bank of Ireland. The information provided herein is not directed at or intended for distribution to any person or entity who is a citizen, resident or located in any jurisdiction where the distribution of these materials and/or the purchase or sale of shares in the Fund would be contrary to applicable law or regulation or would subject the Fund to any regulation or licencing requirements in such jurisdiction.

Risk Warnings: This material is not intended for distribution to retail investors. **The value of investments and income from them may go down as well as up, and you may not get back the original amount invested.** Where an investor’s own currency is different to the currency of the Fund’s investments, returns may be affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates. **Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results.** FPP accepts no liability for any loss or damage arising out of the use or misuse of or reliance on the information provided including, without limitation, any loss of profits or any other damage, whether direct or consequential.

Nothing contained in this document constitutes investment, accounting, tax or legal advice or an offer to sell, or a solicitation of any offer to buy, any interests or shares in any investment. Any subscription in the Fund will be subject to the terms, including a list of risk factors and conflicts of interest, set out in the Fund’s Prospectus, Key Investor Information Document and Supplementary Information Document. Copies of these documents are available at www.findlaypark.com or upon request.

For investors in (or via) Switzerland: Shares of the Fund and related documents may only be distributed in or from Switzerland to qualified investors within the meaning of Art. 10 Para. 3, 3bis and 3ter CISA. In Switzerland, the Representative is ACOLIN Fund Services AG, Leutschenbachstrasse 50, CH-8050 Zürich, whilst the Paying agent is Helvetische Bank AG, Seefeldstrasse 215, CH-8008 Zurich. In respect of the shares distributed in or from Switzerland, the place of performance and jurisdiction shall be at the registered office of the Representative in Switzerland. The basic documents of the Fund as well as the annual and semi-annual reports may be obtained in Switzerland free of charge at the office of the Representative.

The material included herein is confidential and is intended solely for the use of the recipient and should not be redistributed in any way without FPP’s prior written consent.

The information contained in this document is believed to be accurate at the date of publication. No representation or warranty is made as to its continued accuracy after such date and the information, including the holdings and allocations disclosed, is subject to change without notification. The document may include information derived from third parties. All rights for third party data is reserved. Whilst FPP believes such sources to be reliable and accurate, no assurance is given in this regard. Unless otherwise indicated, all figures are sourced from FPP. FPP does not warrant the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of the information and data contained herein and expressly disclaims liability for errors or omissions in the information or data. No warranty of any kind, implied, expressed or statutory, is given in connection with the information and data.

Russell Investment Group is the source and owner of the trademarks, service marks and copyrights related to the Russell Indexes. Russell® is a trademark of Russell Investment Group.

Copyright © Findlay Park Partners LLP 2019. All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system of any nature, or transmitted, in any form or by any means including photocopying and recording, without the prior written permission of Findlay Park Partners LLP.